

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/388,195	¬ 09/01/1999		EDWARD M. SCHEIDT	STS-127	3506
7	590	10/01/2003		EXAMI	NER
IP Strategies PC 806 7th St, NW				VAUGHAN, MICHAEL R	
Suite 301	,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20001				2131	<u> </u>
	•		•	DATE MAILED: 10/01/2003	J

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SE

Application No.								
Examinor   Michael R Vaughan   2131   213		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extension: the three the semilar under the precision of 3 CPT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be bimsly filled  If the period for reply separation above is less than hirty (30) days, a reply whith the subtancy informun in their mailing date of this communication for reply separation devents, the maximum statutary period will appear and requires \$10,00 stays will be considered timely.  If the period for reply separation devents, the maximum statutary period will appear and requires \$10,00 stays will be considered timely.  If the period for reply separation shows the maining date of this communication, when if timely field, may reduce a reply seemed path time application.  A reply received by the Office lear than three months after the maining date of this communication, were if timely field, may reduce a replication.  A reply received by the Office lear than three months after the maining date of this communication, were if timely field, may reduce a replication is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Queryle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s)	Office Action Summer	09/388,195	SCHEIDT, EDWARD M.					
Prior for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Ecrasions of time may be available under the provision of 37 CPR 1.138(a). In a event, however, may a reply be timely field  I fine period for reply specified above. Is teaching and substance of the provision of 37 CPR 1.138(a). In a event, however, may a reply be timely field  I fine period for reply specified above. But such most substancy period will apply and will agree XCP (MONTHS from the mediting date of this communication.  I fine period for reply specified above. But such most substancy period will apply and will agree XCP (MONTHS from the mediting date of this communication.  I fine period for reply specified above. But such such substancy and the period will be period for reply with the substancy and the period of the provision.  I fine period for reply specified above. But such such substancy and the period of the period of the period of the substancy and the period of the period of the period of the substancy and the period of the period of the period of the substancy and the period of the substancy and the period of the substancy and the substance and the s	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under five proceivement of 10° FR 1.15(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Extensions of time may be available under five proceivement of 10° FR 1.15(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Extensions of time may be available under five proceivement of 10° FR 1.15(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Extensions of time may be available under the proceivement of 10° FR 1.15(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Extensions of time may be available under the proceivement of 10° FR 1.15(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Extension of time may be available under the proceivement of 10° FR 1.15(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Extension of the proceive of 10° FR 1.15(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Extension of the proceive of 10° FR 1.15(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Extension of the proceive of 10° FR 1.15(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Extension of the proceive of 10° FR 1.15(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Extension of 10° FR 1.15(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  10° FR 1.15(a). In no event, however, and the relation of 10° FR 1.15(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  11° FR 1.15(a). In no event, however, and the relation of 10° FR 1.15(a). In no event filed	The MAN INC DATE of the							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Exercision of time may be a validable under the provides of 30°CR 1.13(b), in covered, however, may a raply be timely filed after SIX (i) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication of the triangle of the communication of the six (ii) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication of the triangle of the communication of the six (ii) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication of the six (iii) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication of the six (iii) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication of the six (iii) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication of the six (iii) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely little, may reduce any six (iii) MONTH'S from the six (iiii) MONTH'S from the six (iiiii) MONTH'S from the six (iiiii) MONTH'S from the six (iiiiiii) MONTH'S from the six (iiiiiiii) MONTH'S from the six (iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii								
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final:  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) are subject to the extriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(a)	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4  Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some* c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in his National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s)	2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final:						
A) Star be pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
5	4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application	1.						
6)  Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s)  are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on  is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on  is: a)  approved b)  disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  a)  All opinity of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Notice of Profitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Proferences Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected.							
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some *c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		_						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  10 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  11 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  12 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  15) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  16) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)							
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of						

Art Unit: 2131

#### **Detailed Action**

Claims 1-40 have been examined and are pending.

### **Drawings**

Applicant is required to furnish a drawing under 37 CFR 1.81. No new matter may be introduced in the required drawing.

New drawings are required in this application. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 and 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lipner et al (USP 5,991,406).

As per claim 1 and 21, Lipner et al teach both a method and computer apparatus for executing the disclosed method (column 7, line 35-40):

Combining a plurality of key splits to generate a cryptographic key (column 15, lines 13-16); Initializing a cryptographic algorithm with a cryptographic key (column 7, line 40-43);

Applying cryptographic algorithm to an object [57].

Lipner et al fails to disclose using a biometric measurement as part of the encryption key. Lipner et al teach biometric tests are a way to authenticate a user (column 21, lines 34-41). Lipner et al teach that a key can be seeded with externally generated parameters (column 10, lines 50-52). In view of this, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the

Art Unit: 2131

teachings of Lipner et al to use biometrics as part of the key because it would add a personal parameter to the key that identifies who created it.

As per claims 2, 3, 22, and 23, Lipner et al teach adding a reference data (LEAF) and a key split (ELVS) to the encrypted message when sending it to the receiver (column 15, lines 31-32).

As per claims 4 and 24, Lipner et al teach retrieving key components (splits) from memory (column 10, lines 35-38).

As per claims 5, 6, 25, and 26, Lipner et al teach that encryption can be performed in hardware such as a PCMCIA card (smartcard) (column 5, lines 29-32). Because the encryption is done on a smartcard, it is inherent that the smartcard has storage capabilities necessary for performing the encryption. Furthermore, Lipner et al teach a system that can be executed in both hardware (i.e. smartcard) and software for executing the disclosed method (column 7, line 35-40). Consequently, the combining of the key splits is inherently performed on a smartcard.

Claims 7, 8, 10-28, and 30-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sudia (USP 6,009,177) in view of Lipner et al.

As per claims 7, 14, 15, 16, 17, 27, 34, 35, 36, and 37 Sudia teaches:

Generating a private key by combining key spits (column 18, lines 65-67);

Initializing a cryptographic algorithm with a key (column 10, lines 62-67);

Encrypting an object according to cryptographic algorithm (see FIG. 5);

Adding combiner data (Message Control Header) (column 11, lines 33-39) which includes:

Reference data (FIG. 18);

Name data (FIG. 18);

Maintenance split (FIG. 18);

Maintenance level (FIG. 18);

Random split (column 18, lines 46-49);

Art Unit: 2131

Timestamp (FIG. 18);

Digital signature (FIG. 18);

Digital certificate (FIG. 18);

And storing the object with added combiner data (column 15, lines 39-43).

Sudia teaches that the private key is broken up into splits (column 18, lines 12-15). Sudia teaches a random number is generated for each split and, consequently, a random number is associated with each key (column 18, lines 12-61). Sudia is silent in disclosing that the key includes an organizational split, maintenance split, and a label split. Lipner et al teach that a key can be seeded with externally generated parameters (column 10, lines 50-52). Sudia teaches parameters to identify a user such as manufacturer's name (organization) (column 16, line 62), manufacturer public key/certificate (maintenance) (column 16, lines 60-61), and user's public signature (label) (column 17, line 15). Incorporating these parameters into the key splits to provide flexibility in the system's overall ability to enforce security. One skilled in the art would recognize that keys created from these parameters allow the system to authenticate a user and protect its resources from unauthorized persons. In view of this, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the teachings of Lipner et al into the system of Sudia because it would allow the system to better protect its resources to users of varying trust and responsibility.

As per claims 8, 10, 28 and 30, the examiner supplies the same rationale for motivation for incorporating the teachings of Lipner et al into the system of Sudia as cited in the rejection of claim 7. Sudia teaches that various types of credentials are stored in memory for each user (column 16, line 31 – column 17, line 26). It is inherent that from these credentials is where the parameters will be selected to seed the key splits.

As per claims 11 and 31, Sudia teach that smart cards contain valuable user identification (column 22, lines 63-66). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Sudia by storing user information in the memory of a smart card.

As per claims 12 and 32, the examiner supplies the same rationale for motivation for incorporating the teachings of Lipner et al into the system of Sudia as cited in the rejection of claim 7.

Art Unit: 2131

Sudia teaches adding combiner data (Message Control Header) (column 11, lines 33-39), which includes a timestamp (FIG. 18)

As per claims 13 and 33, the examiner supplies the same rationale for motivation for incorporating the teachings of Lipner et al into the system of Sudia as cited in the rejection of claim 7. Sudia teaches adding combiner data (Message Control Header) (column 11, lines 33-39), which includes an escrow certificate (FIG. 18), which includes a user name (I.D.) (FIG. 12).

As per claims 18 and 38, Sudia teaches encrypting the fields of the MCH (label reference data) (column 26, lines 10-14). It is inherent that the fields are encrypted before being added to the header because the same key encrypts not all of the fields. Sudia also teaches the creation of a new session key (second cryptographic key) by which the entire message can be decrypted. Sudia teaches that the private key is broken up into splits (column 18, lines 12-15). Sudia teaches that this key is created in part by a secret number (unique data instance) (column 26, lines 14-29). Sudia also teaches using a random number to help create strong keys (column 18, lines 44-60). Therefore the secret number could be random because random numbers are strong. Sudia teaches a random number is generated for each split and, consequently, a random number (split) is associated with each key (column 18, lines 12-61).

As per claims 19, 20, 39, and 40, Sudia teaches that part of the header data is encrypted (see FIG. 18, and specifically the sender's escrow certificate number (column 23, lines 27-31)). Sudia teaches adding combiner data (Message Control Header) as a header to all packets (column 11, lines 33-39).

Claims 9 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sudia and Lipner et al as applied to claims 7, 8, 27, and 28 above, and further in view of Nguyen (USP 5,689,566).

As per claims 9 and 29, Sudia teaches encrypting the fields of the MCH (label reference data) (column 26, lines 10-14). Sudia also teaches the creation of a new session key (second cryptographic key) by which the entire message can be decrypted. Sudia teaches that this key is created in part by a secret number (unique data instance) (column 26, lines 14-29). Sudia also teaches using a random number to help create strong keys (column 18, lines 44-60). Therefore it would have been obvious to one

Art Unit: 2131

of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Sudia to include the random number into the generation of the second key.

The combined teachings of Sudia and Lipner et al are silent in disclosing creating a key in part from a user ID and password. Nguyen teaches creating a key from a user ID and password (column 3, lines 63-64). Sudia teaches that the private key is broken up into splits (column 18, lines 12-15). Creating part of the key from the user's ID and password allows the system to know who created the key (someone who knows both a valid user ID and the password for that user). In view of this, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the teachings of Nguyen into the combined system of Sudia and Lipner et al because it would decrease the chance of an unauthorized person of gaining system resources.

Art Unit: 2131

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patents

5,347,580

Molva et al.

5,991,408

Pearson et al.

5,623,546

Hardy et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael R Vaughan whose telephone number is 703-305-0354. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 703-305-9648. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

MV Michael R Vaughan Examiner Art Unit 2131

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 7